ISSN 1343-8980

# 創価大学

# 国際仏教学高等研究所

年 報

平成 11 年度 (第3号)

Annual Report of

The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology at Soka University

for the Academic Year 1999

創価大学・国際仏教学高等研究所 東京・2000・八王子

The International Research Institute for Advanced Buddhology Soka University

Tokyo · 2000

# A Sanskrit Fragment of the *Prajñā-pāramitā-ratna-guṇa-saṃcaya-gāthā-vyākhyā* of Haribhadra: A Romanized Text

# Zhongxin JIANG

#### 1. Introduction

#### ABBREVIATIONS

Recension A = Akira Yuyama(ed.), *Prajñā-pāramitā-ratna-guṇa-saṃcaya-gāthā* (Sanskrit Recension A), Cambridge 1976, Cambridge University Press.

Recension B = Obermiller E.(ed.), *Prajñā-Pāramitā-Ratna-Guṇa-Saṃcaya-Gāthā:*Sanscrit and Tibetan Text, Moscow-Leningrad 1937, U.S.S.R. Academy of Sciences
(Bibliotheca Buddhica, XXIX)

Rgs. = Prajñā-pāramitā-ratna-guna-samcaya-gāthā.

 $Rgs.-Vy\bar{a}khy\bar{a} = Haribhadra's Praj\tilde{n}\bar{a}-p\bar{a}ramit\bar{a}-ratna-guṇa-saṃcaya-g\bar{a}th\bar{a}-vy\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ 1.1.

We know from the article of Rāhula Sāṅkrityāyana: "Sanskrit Palm-leaf MSS. in Tibet" (Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society, XXI, 1, Patna, March 1935, pp.21-43) that he went to Tibet in search of Sanskrit manuscripts for the second time from the 4th April to the 10th November 1934. During the expedition, he found an incomplete manuscript of Haribhadra's Ratna-guṇa-saṃcaya-gāthā-vyākhyā at Ṣa-lu Monastery near Śi-ge-rtse. In the list attached to the article, the manuscript is described as follows:

III. Ṣa-lu monastery(near Śi-ge-rtse)

Vol. No. Name Author Script Size(in inches) Leaves Lines

XI 4.42. Ratnaguṇa= Haribhadra ..  $20\% \times 2\%$  .. .. Incomplete sañcayagāthā= vyākhyā

He did not give any information on the number of leaves. Also, we do not know exactly what he meant by the word "incomplete". Therefore, we cannot infer how many leaves of the manuscript were actually preserved.

#### 1.2.

In his book of the Rgs., Prof. Akira YUYAMA, the distinguished philologist of

Buddhist Sanskrit, paid attention to the information concerning the manuscript discovered by Sāṅkrityāyana, but he mistakenly cited the place and the time of the discovery as "Spos-khaṅ Monastery near Gyangtse" and "during his first expedition(1929-1930)", respectively.

In May 1982 during his stay in Beijing, Prof. Yuyama visited the then Library of the Beijing Cultural Palace of Nationalities, on its invitation to inspect Sanskrit manuscripts preserved there at that time. The present author had the good fortune to accompany him on his visit. The Library, however, showed him only one manuscript — that of the Saddharmapunḍarīkasūtra which the present author was then studying. At the close of his visit, he expressed great interest in my own study of the manuscript of the Lotus Sutra and thus encouraged me a great deal. At the same time, he wrote down a line of letters on some paper and showed it to me. It said: Prajñā-pāramitā-ratna-guṇa-samcaya-gāthā-vyākbyā by Haribhadra. He hoped that the present author could find out whether the manuscript of the text was preserved in the library or not. Thus he brought my attention to this manuscript, and it was not long before I was able to find it there. Therefore, I wish to express my profound gratitude for the warm encouragement and advice received from Prof. Akira Yuyama.

#### 1.3.

The present work is an edition of a fragmentary leaf of a Sanskrit handwritten manuscript of the *Ratna-guṇa-saṃcaya-gāthā-vyākhyā* by Haribhadra. The leaf was found among the Sanskrit manuscripts which were formerly kept in the then Library of the Beijing Cultural Palace of Nationalities (北京民族文化宫图书馆), today known as the China Ethnic Library (中国民族图书馆). In an unpublished list, namely the "List of Sanskrit Palm-Leaf Manuscripts Preserved in the Ethnic Library" (民族图书馆藏梵文贝叶经目录), which the late Prof. Sen Wang (王森) compiled for the sake of the Library, the leaf is numbered as 0018.

The fragmentary manuscript is written on a  $47.2 \times 4.4$  cm palm-leaf which contains 11 lines on the obverse side and 10 on the reverse. Each line contains about two hundred letters(akṣara) which are quite small and close together. At either end of the leaf, some lines of letters are broken off and also there are a few illegible letters on the leaf itself. Apart from that, the letters in the manuscript are clear enough to read.

The leaf is probably the last one of the manuscript. The content consists of Haribhadra's commentaries on the 8th to the 18th verse of the 31st chapter and the 1st to the 6th verse of the 32nd chapter, these two chapter colophons, and the final colophon. On the lower margin of the reverse side of the leaf, one finds pen-written *Devanāgarī* letters. It

reads as follows:

XI. 4. ratnaguṇasaṃcayagāthā (patra 1)

From the above-cited description in Rāhula Sānkrityāyana's list, we may conclude that these letters were written by him. The number "XI. 4." agrees with that in the list. In comparison with the title of the text found there, the word "vyākbyā" is wanting in the title written on the margin of the leaf. As a glance on the leaf will show, the title given in the list is quite correct. Concerning the size of the leaf, there is a discrepancy, but we can measure the original leaf accurately to get the correct size. The words, "patra 1", on the margin of the leaf, which mean "one leaf", are very significant as they complement the meaning of the word "Incomplete" found in Rāhula Sānkrityāyana's list, in describing the manuscript. They tell us explicitly that this "Incomplete" manuscript, discovered by him, was in fact only a single leaf and is therefore nothing other than the present one under discussion. Thus, we may safely say that the single palm-leaf fragment, which I present in this article, is the whole of the "Incomplete" manuscript of the Rgs.-vyākhyā of Haribhadra recorded in Rāhula Sānkrityāyana's list.

#### 1.4.

Also, according to reliable information, one more manuscript of the Rgs. is preserved in the Potala Palace in Lhasa. Reportedly the Sanskrit manuscript consists of six fragmentary leaves. As the characteristic features, such as the size of the leaves, the number of lines on each side of the leaf, the number of letters (akṣara) of each line, coincide with those of the leaf which I am dealing with here, I suspect that these leaves belong to one and the same manuscript, and it is not a manuscript of the Rgs. but that of Haribhadra's Rgs.-vyākbyā. I am sure that the actual state of the manuscript will become public knowledge before long.

#### 1.5.

The Rgs., written in the Buddhist Sanskrit, is very important for the study of the philology, vocabulary, morphology, style, syntax and so on of the language. The Sanskrit manuscript of Haribhadra's Rgs.-vyākhyā is unquestionably a great help towards a further study of the Rgs. In order to illustrate this, I will give an example here, but only for reference. The third foot of the eleventh verse in the 31st chapter of the Rgs. both in the Recensions A and B reads as follows:

mātsarya preta bhavate upapadyayātī

Both editors of the Recensions A and B do not comment on this reading. Seemingly they do not see any problem with it. However, it is strange that there isn't any noun or

pronoun as this sentence's subject, while two almost synonymous verbs, namely *bhavate* and *upapadyayātī*, stand next to each other. Such a sentence does not make sense. Therefore, this reading is hardly acceptable, and should be emended. However, how should one emend it? If one cannot solve this problem on its own, then one needs only one glance at Haribhadra's comment on the verse to find the answer. It is found in the third line of the obverse side of the leaf published here, which runs as follows:

# te mātsaryāt, preta-bhavaneşûpapadyante

Based on this comment, we may then confidently emend the above-cited sentence as follows:

### mātsarya preta-bhava te upapadyayātī

This reading, having a subject te and only one verb upapadyayātī, is syntactically normal and its meaning is also clear, and therefore gives us no difficulty in translating it.

In short, Haribhadra's commentary on the Rgs., written in Classical Sanskrit, shows us, how an Indian scholar of his time, understood the Buddhist Sanskrit in the Rgs., and which is quite comprehensive and often accurate. This indeed is a great help to scholars of Buddhist Sanskrit nowadays. I am sure that a careful study of the manuscript of the  $Rgs.-vy\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$  will promote better understanding not only of the Buddhist Sanskrit in the Rgs. but also the language itself, used in other texts.

# 1.6. Signs Used in the Romanized Text ) restored aksara(s) damaged akṣara(s) } akṣara(s) which was blacked out by the scribe or which should be deleted akṣara(s) which was written additionally under a relevant line or a lower margin of the leaf lost aksara illegible aksara virāma designates an external Sandhi, where the first syllable of a word and the last consonant of the preceding one constitute an aksara or a letter. designates the word before and after this sign as parts of a compound. punch hole avagraha, written in the manuscript. There are only two occurrences, in which the avagraha is omitted. They are indicated with the sign "(')".

# 1. Romanized Text

#### Recto

- 2 +++++++++ [nāya]k(e)na // ś[ī]lânv[i]tasyâivan, dāne pravṛttir, ity, āha // yo evêtyādi / ya evânantarôkta-śīlena samvṛtyā samanvāgataḥ paramârthena ca niṣprapa nco 'ta evâvagrahâbhāvāt, so 'napekṣako bhavati / sarvasminn, eva priyâpriya-vastuni / evañ, côttamâṅgâdīni tyajan, dīyamāne vastuni layâbhāvād, alīna-citto vidyamānârtha-tyāgāt, sarva-sva-tyāgī satatañ, ca dāna-phaleṣu nairapekṣyād, alīno bhavet\* bāhya-vastu-parityāgâbhāvāt, kathaṃ sarva-tyāgîti ced, āha // jñātvêtyādi / yatra hi nāma-prekṣā-pūrva-kāritayā dharmāṇām, pra(k)ṛ
- 3 + + + + + .. .. .. [eva](ñ), ca d(o)ṣatvād, vaśikām paramârthena svabhāva-virahān, nirātmikāñ, ca jñātvā yadâtmano mānsâdikam tyajann, alīna-cittaḥ kim, punar, bāhyam vastu tadā na tyajed, evañ, câ sambhavo 'tra bāhye vastuny, asau mātsaryan, kuryād, iti / nairātmya-yogena kim, arthan, dāne pravṛttir, ity, āha / ahêtyādi / {a}yady, aham, ity, ātmani samjñā vastuni câtmīye mamatā bhaved, anurāgo 'parityāga-lakṣaṇas, tadā naîva tyāga-buddhir, bhaviṣyati / upalambha-tamasāvṛtatvena mūḍhānām / evañ, cet, te mātsaryāt, preta-bhavaneṣûpapadyante / atha vā kathañ, cin, manuṣyeṣûpapa
- 4 (dyante ta)[dā] bhavanti dāridrya-prāptāḥ/tataś, ca yathôkta-nītyaîva dāne pravṛttir, iti sthitam\* kim, artham, evan, dāne 'dhimuktir, iti ced, āha // tadêtyādi / yadêmān, paśyati daridrān, sa tadā bodhisatvo dayā-sampatyā yathôkte dāne 'dhimuktaḥ san\* āgamâdi-pramāṇôpetatvena phalena saha sarva-sva-tyajanāt\* sadā mukta-tyāgī bhaved, ataś, caturo dvīpāṃ sapta-ratna-samalaṅkṛtāṃs, tatra sarvathâvagraha-virahāt, kheṭa-tulyāṃ / tri-maṇḍa-pariśuddhi-yogena datvā tathā saumanasya-prāpto bhaven, na yathā dvīpān, yathôktān, labdhvā prāpyêti yāvat\* / yathôktañ, ca dāna
- 5 +++m, an[u]ttara-bodhau pariṇāmayitavyam, ity, āha // dānam, ityādi // anantarôkta-krameṇa dānan, datvā vidvān, paṇḍito bodhisatvo yāvantaḥ satvās, tri-bhaveṣu vidyante tān, samanvāḥṛtya sarvebhyas, tebhyo bhavatv, idan, dānan, dattam, iti

- evam, āśayena tad, dānam, agra-bodhau pariṇāmayati jagad-artham, eva bo{dha}(dhi)satvānāñ, câkṣayatvāt, tad, dānam, a<kṣa>yaṃ syād, iti bhā○vaḥ / tatra dā{na}(nâ)bhiniveśe phalam, āvedayann, āha / na cêtyādi / deya-dāyaka-pratigrāhakânupalambhād, yo naîva vastv-avagrahan, karoti / datvā ca dānam, parânugraha-buddhyā tat-phalâ
- bhilāṣān, naîva kadā-cid, vipākam, pratikāṃkṣati // evaṃ sa phalena saha sarva-sva-tyajanāt, tyaktvā bhaved, vidvān, sarva-sva-tyāgī / svalpañ, ca tyaktôpāya-kauśīla-balāl, labhate / saṃkhyā-pramā nābhyāṃ paricchettum, aśaktatvād, bahum, aprameyañ, ca vipākam, iti śeṣaḥ / mātsarya-nigrahāya ca dāna-pāramitāvad, anumodanā-pūrvakaḥ pariṇāmo (')bhyasanīya ity, āha // yāvantêtyādi / yāvantaḥ satvāḥ kāma-rūpârūpya-lakṣaṇe tri-bhave sarvakāśa-dhādv-antargatatvān, nikhile sambhavanti / te ca sarve dānan, dadaty, ananta-kalpān\* buddhebhyo lokavidbhyo 'rhadbhyaḥ pratyeka
- buddhebhyas, ca tathā cêcchanti śrāvaka-guṇān, asyôpalakṣaṇatvād, buddhâdi-guṇāṃs, ca vastûpalambha-yogena pravṛttatvāt, parikalpa-sthāne sthitā iti bhāvaḥ yas, câbhyaḥ samyag-upāya-kuśa lo vidvān\* bodhisatvas, teṣān, tat, puṇya-kriyā vastv, anumodya satvārtham, pratyagra-bodhau pariṇāmayed, evañ, câsau mātsarya-nigrahād, abhibhavet, sarvañ, jagat, pūrvôktaṃ yathôkta-pariṇāmana yā pariṇāmayan\* kim, ivêty, āha // kācasyêtyādi / yathā kācasya maṇi-ratnasya rāśayaḥ syur, mahāntas, tān, sarvān, vaiḍūrya-ratnam, ekaṃ mūlya-prabhāvābhyām, mahatvād, abhibhavet\* (ta)
- 8 thā sarvasmin, jagati pūrvôkte yāvān, pṛthu-dāna-skandhaḥ / taṃ sarvam, abhibhaved, anumodanā-pūrvakam, anantarôkta-krameṇa pariṇāma[ko bo]dhisatvaḥ / vistareṇa pāramitā-dvaye nirdiśyaîvam, pariśiṣṭa-pāramitāsv, avavādaṃ kathayann, āha / yadîtyādi / yadā bodhisatvo 'vaśiṣṭa-pāramitā-paripālanena dehôpamāno jagataḥ sambandhitvena dānan, tāsām, eva pariśodhanan, kartur, upalambhān, mamatvan, na tatra karoti / kriyā-karmânavabodhāc, ca na vastu-prehan, tadā varddhate kuśalair, mahânubhāvaś, candra ivânabhraḥ prabhāmaṇḍalaiḥ śukla-pakṣa ity, evâvaśiṣṭa-pāramitâvavādenâkhilârthâ
- 9 vavādo dharmôdgata-bodhisa{tva}(tve)<na> <mana-pariśuddhi-śāta>n, kalyāṇa-mitreṇa [v]idheya iti // ratna-guṇa-sañcaya-gāthā-vyākhyāyān, dharmôdgata-parivartta eka-triṃśattamaḥ // // evam labdhâvavādānāñ, cittôtpādâdi-krameṇa pramuditâdi-bhūmy-adhigamād, dānâdi-pāramitānām, mukhyato vyāpāram, āvedayann, āha // dānenêtyādi tatra tāvan, mukhyataḥ pramuditāyām, bodhisatva-bhūmau sthito {mātsarya}mātsarya-prahāṇād, dānena sarvathā preta-gatim, ātmanaḥ sarva-satvānāñ, ca cchinatti bodhisavas, tathā dāridryan, tathā tat-pratibaddhāṃś, câmāya-duḥkhâdīn, sarva-kleśān, devâdi-gatāv, apanayati

- bhogāṃś, ca prakāra-bhedād, anantān, audāryād, vipulān, labhate bodhisatva-cārikāñ, carann, ato dānena satvān, bodhi-cittâdau pratiṣ[ṭhāpa]nāt, paripācayet, kṛtsra-prāptāṃs, tathā vimalāyāṃ sthitaḥsarva-dauḥśīlya-vigamād, yathôktena śīlena tiryag-gatim, aneka-rūpām, aṣṭau câkṣaṇān, atiprasiddhān, varjayati / manuṣyatvâdi-{..}(la)kṣaṇān, viśiṣṭârtha-kāriṇo {ca} labhate ca nityam\* prabhā-karyām, sarva-vyāpāda-vigamāt, kṣāntyā rūpaṃ labhate / lakṣaṇâdy-alaṅkṛtatvāt\* paramam, ativaipulyād, udāran, tapta-hāṭaka-sadṛśatvāt, suvarṇṇa-cchavir, mano-nukūlatvāt, priyo 'secanaka-kāyatvāj, ja(gata u)
- dīkṣaṇīyo bhavet\* arciṣmatyāṃ sarva-kauśīdya-vigamād, vīryeṇa śukla-guṇebhyo na parihāṇim, abhyupaiti jñānañ, ca viṣama-(ha)tyād, anantam, buddhatva-parama-dhana-sañcayâtmakatvāj, jina-kośa-gañjam\* prāpnoti // sudurjayāyāṃ sarva-vikṣepa-prahāṇād, dhyānena kāma-guṇān, vikṣepa-hetu-bhūtān, doṣâkaratvān, nindanīyān\* sarvathā parityāgād, utsṛjāti / viśiṣṭa-satyâbhisambodhi-pañcâbhijñā-svabhāvatvād, yathā-kramam, vidyām, abhijñāḥ śūraṅgamâdi-samādhīś, côtpādanād, abhinirharati / ābhimukhyāñ, ca sarva-dauṣprajñā-vigamāt, pra{..}jñayā dharmāṇām, prak(ṛ)[ti]++

#### Verso

- 1 ..... parijñāya trai-dhātukebhyo 'pāyebho viparyāsa-bandhanebhyaḥ samyagḍ atikrāmet\* .... ņa meghena ca ...... dharma-cakra-ratna(ṃ) pravartya bhave ..... ya○thā-krameṇa deśayat(i) dharman₊ traya ....... viparyāsu duḥkha .... ..... viparyāsu duḥkha .... balāt₊ paripūryêmān₊ iva.....n₊ dharmāt₊ pāramitā-svabhāvāt\* sa bodhisatvo ..... praṇidhāna-sāmarthyāt₊ suvarṇṇâd(i)-maya-bhūtām₊ aniṣpādanād₊ ādhāra-buddha-kṣetra-pariśuddhim\* jighatsâdy-abhāvād₊ ādheya buddha-kṣetra-satva-śuddh(i)[m₊ ā]dhara .......
- 2 pramatyā durla<br/>bha>-sāmarthyena satva-dhātor, buddhatve pratiṣṭhāpanād, buddha-vaṃśam, api parigṛhṇāti / tathā dharma-meghāyān, daśamyām, bhūmau jñāna-balena dharma-deśanā-prabaddhād, dharma-vaṃśa⊙n, tathā 'vicchinna-bodhisatva-paramparā-niṣpādanāt, saṅgha-vaṃśañ, ca parigṛḥṇāti / evaṅ, kramād, ekādaśyāṃ samanta-prabhāyāñ, ca buddha-bhūmau savāsana-sarvâvaraṇa-prahāṇād, aviparīta-dharmâvabodhena parigṛhṇāti sarva-dharmān\* vistareṇa mātaran, nirdiśya vañ, cira-sthitaye pratyapayann, āha // vaidyottamo jagatîtyādi / yathâtiśayena lakṣya-lakṣaṇa-parijayād, vaidyotta[mo ja](gaty, a)
- 3 ndharâdi-rogâpanaya-kārī kathito 'nvartha-saṃjñā {..} ca cittôtpādâdi-guṇa-ratnānāṃ sañcaya-rūpatvād, ratna-guṇa-sañcayaḥ padya-{..}(ba)ndhena nirdiṣṭatvād, yathā svabhāvan, taṃ sarva-satvā ) yathêmam, bhāvanôpadeśa-krameṇa satya-dvaya-kathanān, mārgam, anuprāpnumus, tathā bhavadbhiḥ kāryam, ity, āryânanda-

- prabhṛtibhyaḥ pratyayitavān, iti boddhavyam, evaṃ śata-sāhasrikāyām, bhagavatyām yasante 'bhidhānāt, tatrâyam, bhāvanôpadeśa-kramo 'bhisampratyaya-kārī yad, utâdau tāvac, chrute deśe kṛtâvasthiti-deśakam, bhagavantaṃ sākṣâdhirā{..}jaṃ siṃhāsana-sthan, dharma-cakra-mudrayā yuktaṃ yathāvat, pū..
- 4 pūrvakam, buddha-bodhisatvâdibhiḥ parivṛtam, vibhāvya praṇamet\* tato vajra-paryaṅkam, āpūrya ṛjuṅ, kāyaṃ praṇidhāya samādhi-yogena sthitvā jihvān, tālugatāṅ, kṛtvā nāsikâgre dṛ ṣṭim, avasthāpya daśasu dikṣu buddha-bodhisatvâlaṃbana-pūrvakan, tebhyaḥ samupadeśam, ādāya prajñā-pāramitânayaṃ sarva-dharma-nairātmya-dyotakam, mūla-cittena nāmataḥ samālambya tasyaîva pada-prabhedam, parivarttâdi-bhedād, anucara-cittena niśamayet, tato (')ṣṭâbhisamayâvasthā-bhedena saṃkhyā-prahaṇād, gaṇanayā pratyabhisamayaṃ sva-rūpa-niścayāt, tulanayā 'py, ādhāryâpavādâbhā[vā](t\*)
- 5 mīmānsayā {..} pratyakṣânumāna-pramāṇôpapannatvāt, pratyavekṣaṇatayêty, evam, prajñā-pāramitākaṃ caturbhir, ākārair, vyañjanāni tu vyasta-sa(ma){pra}stāni yathā-kramaṃ nirartha-sadarthatayê○ty, evam, ākārābhyām, vicāraṇāc, cittena vicārayet\* tadā tu yathā vicāritan, nimittam, idam, eva satyam, moho 'nya ity, avadhāraṇā-cittenâvadhṛtya yathā vicāritam, evârthan, nāmâlambake mūla-citte saṅkalana-cittena prakṣipyânuttara-samyak-sambuddhatva-cchanda-sahagatenâśāsta-cittena prajñā-cakṣuṣā māyôpamatvena sarva-dharmāṇāṃ samyag-jñānâlokenâdarśanād, avikalpa .. ..
- 6 hetu-phala-bhāva-virahāc, chūnyān, ataḥ sva-sāmānya-nimitta-vaikalyād, animittān, ataḥ prārthayitavya-vastv, anupalambhād, apraṇihitān, evañ, ca pra[kṛ]ti-prabhāsvara-vimokṣa-mukha-samā dhinā cittôtpādâdi-krameṇa dharma-cakrâparijñānāt\* viparyāsa-ba{..}ndhanena saṃsāra-cārake baddhān, satva-dhātūn\* abhyuddhartum, mahā-karuṇā-cittena bhāvanāṅ, kuryāt\* // tatra na samādhau kausīdya m, ālambana-saṃpramoṣo layaûddhatye ta{..}thā sa bhogaḥ punar, ābhoga iti ṣaḍ-doṣāṇāṃ yathâvasaram, utpāde tat-pratipakṣeṇa vâṣṭau prahāṇa-saṃskārān\* yathā yoga +
- 7 + + + .. tayā hi samādhi-guṇeṣv, abhisampratyaya-lakṣaṇayā śraddhayā yoginaś, chandaḥ samutpadyate / tataś, chanda-balād, vīryam, ārabhate / tato vīrya-balena kāya-citta-prasrabdhi○m, āsādayati // tataḥ prasrabdha-kāya-cetasaḥ kausīdyam, vyāvarttate / tasmāc, chraddhâdayaś, catvāraḥ kausīdya-prahāṇāya bhavanti / smṛtir, ālambana-sampramoṣasya pratipakṣas, tayā samyag-ā○lambanâvasthānāt\* samprajānyaṃ layaûddhatyayoḥ pratipakṣas, tena prāmodya-vastu-buddhâdi-guṇa-manasikārāt\* layasya samvega-vastv-anityatâdi-manasikārād, auddhatya
- 8 +++ nam, bhavati / layauddhatya-praśamana-kāle cânābhoga-doṣas, tat-pratipakṣeṇa cetanâbhyasanīyā layauddhatya-praśame sa(t)i yadā cittam, ālambane niṣprakampam,

anatisaṃskāra-vāhi-pravṛttam, bhavati / tadā punar, ābhogo doṣas, tena citta-vikṣepād, atas, tat-pratipakṣeṇôpekṣā bhāvanīyêty, evan, krameṇa vibhāvya-vyutthāna-pūrvakaṃ saṅgīti-kāraḥ śrī-vajra-dhara-rūpâdhimokṣeṇa bodhisatvâdīn, āhūya prajñā-pāramitā-deśanayā dharma-dānan, datvā tat, sarvam, anabhiniveśa-yogena sarvasatvârtham, anuttara-samyak-sambodhau pariṇāmayed, e[vañ ca]

9 + + + şidheyam, iti // // ratna-guṇa-sañcaya-gāthā-vyākhyāyām, parīndanā-parivartto dvā-triṃśattamaḥ // // mātêyaṅ, gamitā na yoga-vidhibhiḥ kaiś, cit, purā prāṇibhir, vijñāyâsva-samasta-moha-tamasā nāthena yā bhāṣitā // tām, vyākhyāya suyukti-saṅgata-padaiḥ puṇyasya yā vâpi ya{..}t, tenêmāṅ, guṇa-ratna-sañcayavatīm, prāpṇotu śīghraṃ janaḥ // 8 // yo 'laṅkāro '[bhi]samaye tat-piṇḍârtha-prakāśikā prajñā-pāramitā-vyākhyā samāptaîvaṃ śubhôdayā // anaṣṭa-cchandasaîkatra ślokānām, parisaṃkhyayā śatâdhikam, idaṃ jñeyaṃ sahasra-dvaya-10 ++++++/ // // kṛtir, iyam, ācārya-haribhadra-pādānām, iti // 8 // // // m[ā]tur, vyākhyāṃ likhitvā yac, chīla-guṇena bhikṣuṇā / samavāptaṃ śubhan, tena jagad, buddhatvam, āpnuyāt\* // //

# **Postscript**

I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to the Director of the China Ethnic Library, Prof. Li Jiuqi (李久琦), who had the manuscript photographed for my sake and entrusted me with the photographs in the summer of 1986. Also, thanks are due to Prof. Seishi Karashima, who took the trouble to translate the original Chinese article into English.